



## FUBLIC COPY

FILE:

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

APR 28 2005

IN RE:

Applicant:

PETITION:

Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 210 of the Immigration

and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1160

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

**SELF-REPRESENTED** 

## **INSTRUCTIONS:**

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if the matter was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director Administrative Appeals Office

Elen C. Johnson

**DISCUSSION:** The application for temporary resident status as a special agricultural worker (SAW) was denied by the District Director, Bakersfield, California, reopened and denied by the Director, California Service Center. The matter is before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

In both decisions of denial, the director denied the application because the applicant failed to establish the performance of at least 90 man-days of qualifying agricultural employment during the eligibility period. The decisions were based on evidence adverse to the applicant's claim of employment follows:

On appeal, the applicant initially stated that he had not been apprised of the adverse evidence. Subsequently, the application was reopened and the applicant was apprised of the adverse evidence. More than thirteen (13) years later, the applicant has not made any additional statements regarding his reasons for appeal, nor has he addressed the reasons for the denial of his application.

In order to be eligible for temporary resident status as a special agricultural worker, an alien must have engaged in qualifying agricultural employment for at least 90 man-days during the twelve-month period ending May 1, 1986, and must be otherwise admissible under section 210(c) of the Act and not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 210.3(d). 8 C.F.R. § 210.3(a). An applicant has the burden of proving the above by a preponderance of the evidence. 8 C.F.R. § 210.3(b).

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed. The applicant has failed to address the reasons stated in the denial and has not provided any additional evidence on appeal. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.